



EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT FOR SCHOOLS

TEACHER ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

AUSTRALIAN NATURAL DISASTER WEBQUEST

This rubric has been written with the assumption that the webquest was completed in the first half of the year. Can be modified by individual teachers to suit their class /assessment needs.

Student's name: _____

ASSESSMENT TASK	A. Well above the standard expected at this time of year	B. Above standard expected at this time of year	C. At the standard expected at this time of year	D. Below the standard expected at this time of year	E. Well below the standard expected at this time of year	RESULT
Working with others in a team situation/overall contribution to the team	Student took on a leadership role within the group.	Student was a highly productive team member.	Student contributed to the team effectively.	Student did not effectively interact with team members.	Student did not co-operate with other team members.	
Production of a work plan	Extensively detailed work plan showing consideration for individual and team issues.	Detailed work plan showing consideration for some possible issues.	Satisfactorily completed a work plan.	More thought and detail was expected in work plan.	Work plan was minimal or not completed.	
Variation of research sources in bibliography	More than 10 sources were contained in bibliography.	More than 7 sources were contained in bibliography.	More than 5 sources contained in bibliography.	More than 3 sources were contained in bibliography.	Less than 2 sources contained in bibliography.	
Depth of research data	Research was very detailed. A lot of very relevant information included.	Research had some good detail. Lots of information most of which was relevant.	Research was good but lacked detail. Just enough information.	Did not contain enough information	Research was minimal or incomplete.	
Individual report	Report was very detailed. Included and expanded on many different areas of the disaster. Presented and written very well.	Report contained good information and was presented in a clear and logical manner.	Report contained some good information but lacked detail.	Not a lot of detail and information not organised. Difficult to make sense of.	Report had little detail, or was incomplete.	
Quality of team presentation of webquest findings	Presentation was of extremely high quality, organised effectively and contained visual aids.	Presentation was good but could have been organised more effectively and contained more in depth information.	Presentation was ok. Just enough information was contained. Few pictures.	Presentation was not sufficient. Lacked detail and showed limited learning.	Presentation was incomplete or not completed.	

Comments:

Overall result